

MAY 20 2010

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket : 137856-US-NP  
Application No.: 10/798,412  
Filing Date: March 12, 2004  
Patent No.: 7,590,072  
Issue Date: September 15, 2009  
First Named Inventor : Tarek Radi  
Title: Interworking network maps of network management and element  
management systems

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

**Reply To Decision Dismissing  
Request for Recalculation of PTA in View of Wyeth**

In reply to the Decision dismissing the patentee's Request for Recalculation of Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) in View of Wyeth (herein REQUEST) for the above- identified patent, patentee submits that the dismissal for ineligibility is improper.

Due to an apparent discrepancy in the data utilized by the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) in considering the eligibility of the REQUEST, the PTO apparently deemed the REQUEST ineligible under reason E) identified in the Decision. Under reason E), a request for PTA recalculation is deemed ineligible when it is filed more than one-hundred-eighty (180) days after the grant date of the patent and is not filed within two months of a dismissal of a request for reconsideration of the patent term under 37 CFR 1.704(d).

However, patentee's REQUEST was timely filed within the applicable time frame/s. Thus, the dismissal is improper.

The grant date of the above identified patent is September 15, 2009.

Accordingly, a timely REQUEST may be filed within one-hundred-eighty days from that grant date, the last day for filing the REQUEST being Sunday March, 14, 2010.

Since the day, or the last day, for taking any action with respect to the filing of the REQUEST in the PTO falls on Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal holiday within the District of Columbia, the action may be taken, or the fee paid, on the next succeeding secular or business day. 35 USC 21.

As per 35 USC 21, patentee filed the REQUEST on March 15, 2010.

Indeed, the date information on the Imaged file history on PTO PAIR indicates that the REQUEST was received by the PTO on March 15, 2010.

In addition, the REQUEST (attached download from PTO PAIR) is stamped as being received by the PTO Central Fax Center on March 15, 2010.

Thus, the REQUEST was timely filed.


Unfortunately, in rendering its decision on the ineligibility of the REQUEST, the PTO has apparently relied on faulty data contained in the Transaction History record of PTO PAIR. The transaction history data (attached) for the above-identified patent indicates that a petition was entered on March 18, 2010. Were the REQUEST to have been filed on March 18, 2010, the REQUEST would have been ineligible for being untimely. It appears that the PTO relied on this erroneous data in making the Decision. Thus, the Decision dismissing the REQUEST is improper.

Patentee thus requests reconsideration of the Decision dismissing the REQUEST and again requests recalculation of the PTA under 35 USC 154(b) indicated on the above-identified patent. The patentee's sole basis for requesting the recalculation is the USPTO's Pre-Wyeth interpretation of 35 USC 154(b)(2)(a).

The improper Decision dismissing patentee's REQUEST is dated April 21, 2010. This reply is filed within one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, of the mail date of the Decision, and therefore is timely.

Respectfully submitted,

By

  
John Granchelli  
Reg. No.: 39,512

Date: MAY 20, 2010

Docket Administrator - Room 3D-201  
Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc  
600-700 Mountain Avenue  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.  
1725 Duke Street, Suite 240  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Mail Date: 04/21/2010

Applicant : Tarek Radi  
Patent Number : 7590072  
Issue Date : 09/15/2009  
Application No : 10/798,412  
Filed : 03/12/2004

: DECISION ON REQUEST FOR  
: RECALCULATION of PATENT  
: TERM ADJUSTMENT IN VIEW  
: OF WYETH  
:  
:

The Patentee's Request for Recalculation is **DISMISSED**.

This Request is deemed ineligible for consideration for one or more of the following reasons:

- (A). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested is either a design or reissue application or is a reexamination proceeding;
- (B). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested resulted from a utility or plant application filed under 35 USC 111(a) before May 29, 2000 and no CPA filed in the application on/after May 29, 2000;
- (C). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested resulted from an international application in which the international filing date was before May 29, 2000 and no CPA filed in the application on/after May 29, 2000;
- (D). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested issued on/after March 2, 2010;
- (E). The Request for Recalculation was filed more than 180 days after the grant date of the patent and the request was not filed within two months of a dismissal of a request for reconsideration of the of the patent term under 37 CFR 1.705(d);
- (F). The Request for Recalculation is not solely limited to USPTO pre-Wyeth interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A);

or

- (G). A civil action was filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A) concerning the same patent at issue in this request.

Patentee may file a reply to this decision dismissing the Request for Recalculation. Patentee must file such reply within one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, of the mail date of the decision dismissing the Request for Recalculation. No fee is required if patentee is asserting in the reply that the dismissal for ineligibility is improper.

Patentee should use document code PET.OP if electronically filing a reply to this dismissal. If the USPTO finds that the request was improperly deemed ineligible, the USPTO will mail applicant a recalculation determination.

Patentee should be aware that in order to preserve the right to review in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia of the USPTO patent term adjustment determination, patentee must ensure that he or she also take the steps required under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A). Nothing in the request for recalculation should be construed as providing an alternative time frame for commencing a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A).

Any questions concerning this decision should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at 571-272-7702.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
MAR 15 2010

FAX COVER SHEET

RE: OFFICIAL PAPER  
TO: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
FAX: 571-273-8300

FROM: Gregory J. Murgia  
Reg. No. 41,209  
Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc.

PHONE: (908) 582-7109  
FAX: (908) 582-4020

DATE: March 15, 2010  
Pages (Incl. Cover): 4

Re: Request For Recalculation Of Patent Term Adjustment In View of  
Wyeth\*

Case No:	137808-US-NP	Patent No. 7,590,055
Case No:	137856-US-NP	Patent No. 7,590,072
Case No:	137908-US-NP	Patent No. 7,590,053

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any paper referred to as being transmitted therewith) is being facsimile transmitted to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, on the date indicated below:

March 15, 2010

Date

  
MICHELE M. RUTTER

03/15/2010 MON 10:15 FAX 613 784 8923

MAR 15 2010

002/003

Doc Code: PET,PTA,RCAL


Document Description: Request for Recalculation in view of Wyeth

PTO/SB/131 (01-10)

Approved for use through 03/31/2011. OMB 0351-0073  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information on this form if it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR RECALCULATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
IN VIEW OF WYETH\*

Attorney Docket Number: 137856-US-NP	Patent Number: 7,590,072
Filing Date (or 371(b) or (d) Date): March 12, 2004	Issue Date: September 15, 2009
First Named Inventor: Tarek Radi	
Title: Interworking network maps of network management and element management systems	
<p>PATENTEE HEREBY REQUESTS RECALCULATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT (PTA) UNDER 35 USC 154(b) INDICATED ON THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED PATENT. THE PATENTEE'S SOLE BASIS FOR REQUESTING THE RECALCULATION IS THE USPTO'S PRE-WYETH INTERPRETATION OF 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).</p> <p>Note: This form is only for requesting a recalculation of PTA for patents issued before March 2, 2010. If the sole basis for requesting the recalculation is the USPTO's pre-Wyeth interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), See Instruction Sheet on page 2 for more information.</p> <p>Patentees are reminded that to preserve the right to review in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia of the USPTO's patent term adjustment determination, a patentee must ensure that he or she also takes the steps required under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3) and (b)(4) and 37 CFR 1.705 in a timely manner.</p> <p>*Wyeth v. Kappos, No. 2009-1120 (Fed. Cir., Jan. 7, 2010).</p>	
Signature: 	Date: March 15, 2010
Name (Printed): JOHN GRANCHELLI	Registration Number: 39,512
<p>Note: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required in accordance with 37 CFR 1.33 and 1.71. Please use 37 CFR 1.41g for the form of the signature. If necessary, submit multiple forms for more than one signature, see below.</p>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Total of 1 forms are submitted.	

The information is required to assess or create a benefit by the public which is in the form of the USPTO to process an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 182 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.16. This collection is designed to take 18 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed information to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the burden of this form should be submitted to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1480, Alexandria, VA 22315-1480. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1480, Alexandria, VA 22315-1480.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

137856PAIRPrintServlet.txt  
10/798,412 INTERWORKING NETWORK MAPS OF NETWORK  
MANAGEMENT AND  
ELEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS 05-12-2010::15:18:46

### Transaction History

	Date	Transaction Description
	04-21-2010	Mail-Petition Decision - Dismissed
	04-17-2010	Petition Decision - Dismissed
	03-18-2010	Petition Entered
	09-15-2009	Recordation of Patent Grant Mailed
	08-26-2009	Issue Notification Mailed
	09-15-2009	Patent Issue Date Used in PTA
Calculation	08-12-2009	Dispatch to FDC
	08-10-2009	Application Is Considered Ready for
Issue	08-06-2009	Issue Fee Payment Verified
	08-06-2009	Issue Fee Payment Received
	05-01-2009	Mail Examiner's Amendment
	05-01-2009	Mail Notice of Allowance
	04-29-2009	Document Verification
	04-29-2009	Notice of Allowance Data Verification
Completed	04-27-2009	Examiner's Amendment Communication
	03-05-2009	Date Forwarded to Examiner
	03-05-2009	Date Forwarded to Examiner
	03-03-2009	Request for Continued Examination
(RCE)	03-05-2009	Disposal for a RCE / CPA / R129
	03-03-2009	Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin
	02-18-2009	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)
	02-17-2009	Final Rejection
	12-10-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner
	11-05-2008	Response after Non-Final Action
	10-22-2008	Mail Examiner Interview Summary (PTOL
- 413)	10-20-2008	Examiner Interview Summary Record
(PTOL - 413)	10-06-2008	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
	09-04-2008	Mail Non-Final Rejection
	09-02-2008	Non-Final Rejection
	07-18-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner
	07-18-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner

137856PAIRPrintServlet.txt  
07-07-2008 Request for Continued Examination

(RCE)

07-18-2008 Disposal for a RCE / CPA / R129  
07-07-2008 workflow - Request for RCE - Begin  
05-16-2008 Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)  
05-12-2008 Final Rejection  
04-06-2008 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
04-02-2008 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
03-06-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner  
01-28-2008 Response after Non-Final Action  
12-06-2007 Mail Non-Final Rejection  
12-05-2007 Non-Final Rejection  
09-26-2007 New or Additional Drawing Filed  
10-05-2007 Date Forwarded to Examiner  
09-26-2007 Response after Non-Final Action  
09-18-2007 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
08-02-2007 Mail Non-Final Rejection  
07-31-2007 Non-Final Rejection  
03-12-2004 Information Disclosure Statement

considered

06-26-2007 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
06-14-2007 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
10-03-2006 Miscellaneous Incoming Letter  
03-21-2006 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
01-27-2006 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
07-21-2005 Correspondence Address Change  
01-26-2005 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
09-22-2004 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
07-17-2004 IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center

Complete

07-17-2004 Reference capture on IDS  
03-12-2004 Information Disclosure Statement

(IDS) Filed

03-12-2004 Information Disclosure Statement

(IDS) Filed

07-17-2004 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU  
05-27-2004 Application Return from OIPE  
05-27-2004 Application Return TO OIPE  
05-27-2004 Application Return from OIPE  
05-28-2004 Application Is Now Complete  
05-27-2004 Application Return TO OIPE  
05-26-2004 Application Dispatched from OIPE  
05-27-2004 Application Is Now Complete  
04-12-2004 Cleared by OIPE CSR

137856PAIRPrintServlet.txt  
03-29-2004 IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review  
03-12-2004 Initial Exam Team nn

Close window